

## UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20260

AUS 2 0 1981

Mr. Gerald Anderson Executive Aide, Clerk Craft American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO 817 - 14th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

ARTICLE			Contraction of the
SECTION	14		-
SUBJECT_		and the second	
LETTER	OF	CONC	en!
		A COLUMN TWO IS NOT	-
	Statistics of Statistics	Statement in succession	

Re: S. Mitchell Atlanta, GA 30304 H8C-3D-C-28661

Dear Mr. Anderron:

On August 13, 1981, we met with you to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The matters presented by you as well as the applicable contractual provisions have been reviewed and given careful consideration.

The question in this grievance is whether or not management violated Article XIV of the National Agreement when it issued an employee a Letter of Concern for unsafe practices.

In the instant case, the grievant was issued a Letter of Concern by his supervisor because of an accident. The Union alleges that the employee was threatened with disciplinary action and a step deferment if she should suffer another accident. During our discussion you expressed concern that the Letter of Concern was singling out safety as a single factor as the basis for withholding step increases.

In our opinion, the Letter of Concern does not violate the National Agreement. The letter is intended to alert the employee of the need for corrective action. Local management indicates that the letter is used solely for added emphasis. It is not placed in the disciplinary files.

The phrase "safety is an important factor" does not single out safety as an only factor. It does place emphasis upon it as an important factor. Nothing in the files indicate that the program is being used to harass employees.