

ARTICLE 10
CALL IN POLICY

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20260

MAR 3 0 1984

Mr. Halline Overby
Assistant Secretary-Treasurer
National Association of Letter
Carriers, APL-CIO
100 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-2197

Re: Class Action Tampa, FL 33602 HlN-3W-C 21270

Dear Mr. Overby:

On February 17, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned case at the fourth step of the contractual grievance procedure set forth in the 1981 National Agreement.

The question raised in this grievance involves a local policy concerning the procedure to call in and advise management of an employee's absence.

After further review of this matter, we mutually agreed that no national interpretive issue is fairly presented in the particulars evidenced in this case. It was mutually agreed that any local policy establishing a call-in procedure must be in compliance with Section 513.332 of the Employee and Labor Relations Manual (ELM).

Accordingly, as we further agreed, this case is hereby remanded to the parties at Step 3 for application of the fact circumstances to the above-referenced ELM provision.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bayliss/

Labor Relation's Department

Halline Overby

Assistant Secretary-Treasurer National Association of Letter Carriers, APL-CIO