

RECEIVED

OCT 1 1925

Washington, DC 20260

Mr. Richard I. Wevodau Director Maintenance Craft Division American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO 817 14th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

SEP 2 4 1986

Re: C. Mc Larty St. Louis, MO 63155 H4C-4K-C 13425

and the second sec	
ARTICLE_	8
SECTION_	5
SUBJECT_	
DECEM	
PE	NALTY
and the second division of the local divisio	

Dear Mr. Wevodau:

On July 24, 1986, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether the grievant is entitled to additional pay for being drafted for overtime on December 7, 1985.

In this case, the grievant was scheduled for overtime on her non-scheduled day. Employees junior in seniority to her were not scheduled for overtime.

The union requests pay at the penalty rate for the hours involved.

It is our position that no national interpretive issue involving the terms and conditions of the National Agreement is fairly presented in this case. However, inasmuch as the union did not agree, the following represents the decision of the Postal Service on the particular fact circumstances involved.

It is also our position that local management acted in accord with the National Agreement in denying penalty pay for hours worked in December. In addition, the corrective action requested would be inappropriate under any circumstances. Accordingly, the grievance is denied.