



RECEIVED

AUG 1 1984

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, DC 20260

*Richard I. Wevodau*  
MAINTENANCE DIVISION, DIRECTOR  
AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION

Mr. Richard I. Wevodau  
Director  
Maintenance Craft Division  
American Postal Workers  
Union, AFL-CIO  
817 14th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

JUL 30 1984  
ARTICLE \_\_\_\_\_  
SECTION \_\_\_\_\_  
SUBJECT Lower Level  
Residual Vacancy  
Not Interpretive

Re: Shanley  
Scranton, PA 18503  
H1T-2B-C 12000

Dear Mr. Wevodau:

On June 14, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The grievance raises questions concerning specific fact circumstances relating to the grievant not being selected for a lower level assignment.

We mutually agreed that this issue does not fairly present an interpretive question. A higher level qualified employee who has previously submitted a request for assignment to a lower level shall be given consideration for filling a residual lower level vacancy after other appropriate employees have been assigned. If the higher level employee is not selected, the employee should be told the reason(s) why, if requested.

Accordingly, the grievance is remanded to Step 3 for the parties at that level to address the factual disputes, if any remain.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this decision as acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

*Robert L. Eugene*  
Robert L. Eugene  
Labor Relations Department

*Richard I. Wevodau*  
Richard I. Wevodau  
Director