



RECEIVED

MAR 25 1986

*Richard I. Wevodau*  
AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, DC 20260

Mr. Richard I. Wevodau  
Director  
Maintenance Craft Division  
American Postal Workers  
Union, AFL-CIO  
817 14th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

ARTICLE \_\_\_\_\_  
SECTION \_\_\_\_\_  
SUBJECT Contracting Out  
Painting  
Not Interpretive

MAR 25 1986

Re: Class Action  
Minneapolis, MN 55401-9998  
H4T-4C-C 7755

Dear Mr. Wevodau:

On February 19, 1986, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether management violated Article 32 of the National Agreement by subcontracting the painting of the Minneapolis' installation.

It is our position that no national interpretive issue involving the terms and conditions of the National Agreement is fairly presented in this case. Inasmuch as the union declined mutual agreement in this regard, however, the following represents the decision of the Postal Service on the particular fact circumstances involved.

A review of the information provided disclosed no contractual violation. In making the decision to subcontract the painting management apparently followed the provisions of Article 32.1.A. Having found no contractual violation the grievance is denied.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

*Margaret H. Oliver*  
Margaret H. Oliver  
Labor Relations Department