## UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

475 L'erdent Plaxt. SW
Weshington OC 20600

Mr. Thomas A. Noill
Industrial Relations Dizector
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
817 lith Stroet, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399
MAY 231986


Res Colorado Springs, CO 80901
R. Marcyniuk B1C-5E-C 18899

Dear Mr. Neill:
On March 27, 1986, you met with Anthony Vegliante for a pre-arbitration discussion of case 日lC-5F-C 18899, Colorado Speings, Colorado. The question in this grievance was whether management officials violated the National Agreement when they assigned the grievant to a residual vacancy after sho was named the senior bidder to another position.

It was mutually agreed to full settlement of this case as Eollows:
L. If an unassigned regular is the senior bidder and enters a deferment period under Article 37.3.E.3.4, or 7, and has demonstrated his/her intent to gualify on the bid position, he/she cannot be assigned to a residual vacancy during the deferment period, unless he/she is qualified on the residual vacancy.

The unassigned regular in a deferment period must deaonstrare his/has incent to qualisy by attending training as outlined in the revisions to Eandbooks M-5 and $M-54$ in poscal Bulletin 21397 dated Karch 31. 1983.
2. An unassigned regular who-is the senior bidder may be assigned to a residual vacancy for which qualified and have the option to continue to train on the bid position.

Please sign and return the anclosed copy of this letter acknowledging your agremant to close this case withdrawing Bl6-5F-C 18899 Erom the national pending arbitration listing.

Sincerely,


