RECEIVED



MAINTENANCE DIVISION, DIRECTOR

Richard O Wer



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20260

MAR 3 0 1984

ARTICLE		
SECTION		
SUBJECT	Travel	
ELM	438	
a second s		

Re: Class Action Charleston, WV 25301 E1T-2M-C 4115

> Fisher Charleston, WV 25301 H1T-2M-C 4181

Dear Mr. Wevodau:

On February 24, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned cases at the fourth step of the contractual grievance procedure set forth in the 1981 National Agreement.

The question raised in these grievances involved whether maintenance craft employees are correctly compensated for time spent traveling to and from training conducted at the Oklahoma Technical Center.

After further review of this matter, we mutually agreed that no national interpretive issue is fairly presented in the particulars evidenced in these cases. We agreed that the question raised requires application of ELM 438 rather than an interpretation.

Accordingly, as we further agreed, the cases are hereby remanded to the parties at Step 3 for further processing if necessary. Mr. Richard I. Wevodau

Please sign and return a copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand these cases.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

(

11AAALI

Margaret H. Oliver Labor Relations Department

Richard I. Wevodau Director Maintenance Craft Division American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO