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On June 14, 1988, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether management failed to promptly place the grievant in a position for which he was trained.
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The Union contends that once the employee successfully completes training in the Mail Acceptance Course that he/she be immediately moved to the new position in conjunction with employees who are successful bidders.

It is our position that no national interpretive issue involving the terms and conditions of the National Agreement is fairly presented in this case. However, inasmuch as the union did not agree, the following represents the decision of the Postal Service on the particular fact circumstances.

The grievant was the senior bidder for a position to which pezmanent assignment is deferred until successul completion of the training. Although the employee may have completed the training, until the test results are received, the. employee is not considered qualified. There is no requirement to assign the employee to the new position when he is not qualified.

Based on the above considerations, this grievance is denied. Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Snr Singerely,


