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 Washington, DC 20260Mr. Kenneth D. Wilson
Assistant Director
Clerk Craft Division
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
817 l4th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399
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\begin{aligned}
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& \text { Largo, FL } 33540 \\
& \text { HlC }-3 W-C 28081
\end{aligned}
$$

Dear Mr. Wilson:
This supercedes my letter dated April 11, 1984.
On March 8, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The grievance concerns whether local management erred when they permitted a level 5 clerk in another office to transfer to a level 4 assignment in the Largo Post Office, without first posting the vacant assignment for full-time regular craft employees eligible to bid. A violation of Article 37, Section 3.A.1., is alleged.

In our opinion, the provisions of Article 37, Section 3.A.1.", contemplate that there will be craft employees eligible to bid. In a smaller office where it can be determined without a doubt that no current employees are eligible to bid, the assignment may be filled by other appropriate means without going through a meaningless and time consuming act of posting. In this case, there were no level 4 craft employees to bid and level 5 craft. employees cannot bid. There is no contractual requirement that obligates the postmaster to accept applications for reassignment from higher grade employees in the office before considering a request for transfer from a craft employee in another office.

Accordingly, as we find no violation of the National Agreement, this grievance is denied.

Sincerely,


Robert L. Eugené
Labor Relations' Department

