

## UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20200

DEC 18 TEID

MI	第4_	3		راد مالد د
620	10.1	d= 1,, et		<u>م</u>
21: - 4	-		10 martin (1350)	والمحدد المد
177	. 40%	IMA	more and a second	************
		Co	I	

Hr. Kenneth Wilson
Administrative Aide, Clerk Craft
American Postal Workers Union,
 AFL-CIO
817 - 14th Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20005

A8, W/199

Re: APWU Local Richmond, CA 94802

Dear Hr. Wilson:

On December 8, 1980, we met with you to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The matters presented by you as well as the applicable contractual provisions have been reviewed and given careful consideration.

The question in this grievance is whether management violated Article XXXI as it relates to providing the Union copies of relevant documents for a reasonable fee.

The Union requested local management duplicate certain documents as is enumerated in their letter of June 30, 1980. Management acted properly in advising the Union of the approximate cost for compiling and duplicating the requested material.

Fees for the duplication of information should be applied in accordance with Parts 352.621 and 352.622 of the Administrative Support Manual.

Accordingly, we find no violation of the National Agreement.

Sincerely,

Trank M. Dyen Labor Relations Department



## UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Labor Relations Department 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW Washington, DC 20280-4100

Mr. James Connors Assistant Director Clerk Craft Division American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO 1300 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-4107

ARTICLE	31	
\$3511311 <u></u>		
SUSCERT_	adaran, James of Reid Joseph Britann	
	ENT IN	
	POVONCA	B.

SEP 22 1987

Class Action Re: Little Rock, AR 72201 H4C-3B-C 45433

Dear Mr. Connors:

On September 9, 1987, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether management is in violation of contract language when demanding payment in advance for requested copies of information.

After reviewing this matter, we mutually agreed that no national interpretive issue is fairly presented in this case. We further agreed that this issue is suitable for regional determination with the understanding that the union is responsible for prompt payment of all charges incurred in the reproduction of requested information in accordance with Section 352 of the Administrative Support Manual.

Accordingly, we agreed to remand this case to the parties at Step 3 for further processing, including arbitration if necessary.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Sincerely,

Gri/evance/& Arbitration

Assistant Director Clerk Craft Division American Postal Workers

Union, AFL-CIO