




UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, DC 20260

MAR 1 2 1984

Mr. John A. Morgen  
Director  
Clerk Craft Division  
American Postal Workers Union,  
AFL-CIO  
817 14th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399



Re: R. Gall  
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33310  
H1C-3W-C 25756

Dear Mr. Morgen:

On February 15, 1984, we discussed the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The grievance concerns whether the grievant is responsible for a shortage found in his SSPC account.

We mutually agreed that this grievance does not fairly present an interpretive dispute. Shortages in the assigned account of the SSPC Technician shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of Part 622, M-74 Handbook. Accordingly, this grievance is remanded for appropriate application.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this decision as acknowledgment of agreement to remand this case.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Eugene  
Labor Relations Department

John A. Morgen,  
Director  
Clerk Craft Division  
American Postal Workers Union,  
AFL-CIO


MAR 21 1984



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, DC 20260

Mr. John A. Morgen  
Director  
Clerk Craft Division  
American Postal Workers Union,  
AFL-CIO  
817 14th Street, N. W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

FEB 26 1985



Re: J. Fowlks  
Salt Lake City, UT 84199-9998  
HLC-5L-C 27915

Dear Mr. Morgen:

On February 26, 1985, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether the grievant has been assigned a stamp credit and, if so, was such assignment proper.


After further review of this matter, we agreed that there was no national interpretive issue fairly presented as to the meaning and intent of Article 28 of the National Agreement. This is no conflict between 529.31 and Appendix D-113.1 of the F-1 Handbook. Whether this employee in the specific circumstances in this case has a stamp credit is a noninterpretive question.


If it is agreed or ruled by an arbitrator that this supply clerk does have a stamp credit, then pursuant to 529.31 it will be removed.

Accordingly, we agreed to remand this case to Step 3 for further consideration by the parties.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this decision as acknowledgment of our agreement to remand this grievance.

Sincerely,

  
Daniel A. Kahn  
Labor Relations Department

  
John A. Morgen  
Director  
Clerk Craft Division  
American Postal Workers Union,  
AFL-CIO