



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW
Washington, DC 20250

ARTICLE	19
SECTION	M-16
SUBJECT	
EDIT	
LATE HIT	
ERROR	

OCT 31 1984

Mr. Kenneth D. Wilson
Assistant Director
Clerk Craft Division
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
817 14th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

Re: E. Muschall.
Omaha, NE 68108
H1C-4H-C 29833

Dear Mr. Wilson:

On September 26, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned case at the fourth step of the contractual grievance procedure.


The question raised in this grievance is whether the grievant was properly charged with two errors during an EDIT sampling.


After further review of this matter, we mutually agreed that no national interpretive issue is fairly presented in the particulars evidenced in this case. Whether or not the grievant was properly charged with the errors can only be determined by application of the M-16 Handbook to the specific fact circumstances involved. Further, the Step 4 decision cited in the union file was a noncitable decision.

Accordingly, as we further agreed, this case is hereby remanded to the parties at Step 3 for further processing, if necessary.

Please sign a copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of your agreement to remand this case.

Sincerely,


Thomas J. Lang
Labor Relations Department


Kenneth D. Wilson
Assistant Director
Clerk Craft Division
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO



ARTICLE 19
 SECTION M-16
 SUBJECT
 EDIT LETTERS
 MUST BE SHOWN

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW
 Washington, DC 20260

JUN 15 1984

Mr. Kenneth D. Wilson
 Assistant Director
 Clerk Craft Division
 American Postal Workers
 Union, AFL-CIO
 817 14th Street, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20005-3399

Re: D. Aguirre
 Alhambra, CA 91899
 HIC-5G-C-20748

Dear Mr. Wilson:

On May 31, 1984, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

This grievance involves the procedures followed in conducting EDITs.

During our discussion, we agreed to resolve this case based on our mutual understanding of Handbook M-16, Section 633, which provides, in part, "After the supervisor has analyzed the EDIT tape, calculated the error rate and documented the data required for the Sample Keycode Data Analysis and the ZMT Individual Performance Record, the EDIT tape and the corresponding sample letters must be shown to the operator" We also agreed that if an operator refuses to initial EDIT tapes, the provisions of Section 634 will be applied.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this decision as your acknowledgment of agreement to resolve this case.

Sincerely,

Margaret H. Oliver
 Margaret H. Oliver
 Labor Relations Department

Kenneth D. Wilson
 Kenneth D. Wilson
 Assistant Director
 Clerk Craft Division
 American Postal Workers
 Union, AFL-CIO

enclosed