

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE ROOM 9014 475 L'ENFANT PLAZA SW WASHINGTON DC 20260-4100 TEL (202) 268 3916 FAX (202) 268 3074

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT POSTMASTER GENERAL LABOR RELATIONS DEPARTMENT

Mr. Robert L. Tunstall
Assistant Director
Clerk Craft Division
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
1300 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-4128

ARTI	CLE	19	DAMES TO S
SECT	ION		and the same of th
SUB.	ECT	-	
AD	MINL	ERVE	
	AST I	AY. O.	FRORK
NO	ARA		*******

Re: H4C-4S-C 38132 CLASS ACTION MINOT ND 58701

Dear Mr. Tunstall:

on several occasions, the mos' recent being March 31, 1992, we met to discuss the above-captioned grievance at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in this grievance is whether the Postal Service violated the National Agreement by discontinuing a practice of allowing retiring employees the day off with pay on their last scheduled day of work.

It is the Postal Service position that recording a retiring employee's last day as time worked, while allowing the retiree to not report for duty constitutes falsification of a report which is prohibited by Part 666, USPS Standards of Conduct, of the Employee & Labor Relations Manual (ELM). Additionally, granting retirees administrative leave on their last day of employment is an expansion of ELM, part 510, and is contrary to Article 10.2 Leave Regulations of the National Agreement. That contract provision requires that "[T]he leave regulations in Subchapter 510 of the ELM . . . shall remain in effect for the life of the Agreement."

Furthermore, the previous practice at the Minot Post Office cannot change the requirements of the National Agreement or the regulations discussed above. The practice of recording work hours on a day when no work was performed was not known or agreed to by management and the APWU at the national level, nor would such agreement make the practice of falsification permissible. Two instances of administrative leave between the Postmaster's identification and cessation of the practice of recording work hours for time not worked do not rise to the level of an enforceable past practice.



Accordingly, this grievance is denied.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

muriel aikens arreld

Muriel Aikens Arnold
Grievance & Arbitration
Division

Date APR 02 1992